

MINUTES OF MEETING  
NORTHWOOD  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Northwood Community Development District was held Tuesday, May 14, 2002 at 9:00 A.M. at the Model Center, 1123 Conch Court, Wesley Chapel, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Michael Lawson  
Larry Floyd  
Rich Leatham

Chairman  
Vice Chairman (via phone)  
Supervisor

Also present were:

John Daugirda  
Mark Straley  
Dayne Piercefield  
Several residents

Assistant Manager  
District Counsel (via phone)  
Engineer (via phone)

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Daugirda called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. with roll call. Supervisors Lawson, Floyd and Leatham were present constituting a quorum.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the March 12, and March 22, 2002 Meetings

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, with all in favor, the minutes of the March 12, 2002 and March 22, 2002 meetings were approved.

(Whereupon, Items 4(A) and 4(B) were addressed.)

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Distribution of Proposed Budget for Fiscal  
Year 2003 and Consideration of  
Resolution 2002-9 Approving the  
Budget and Setting a Public Hearing

Mr. Daugirda stated we are looking today at a brief overview of the budget. What we do is, it is a two-step process. We distribute a draft budget. We do not have to go into a whole lot of detail, because primarily what we are going to be doing is receiving this for discussion purposes, then setting a public hearing for later in the summer - specifically July 16th at 9:00 a.m. This is kind of the opening discussion on this document.

We still have a lot of specific work to do on specific projects on other things.

Basically what this is proposing to take last year's budget, which is the present year budget - 2002 - which is the left-hand column, if you are on Page 1, and compare it to Fiscal Year 2003, which is the far right column. The fiscal year starts October 1. It proposes to increase revenues and expenditures from \$284,000 up to \$343,000.

What we have is, the administrative sub-total is essentially the same - actually, it goes down a little bit from \$75,000 to the \$74,000 range. What we are looking at is to expend some more monies in the maintenance area out in the field.

Look at some of these items: gate attendance, presently at \$49,000, and actually they put \$70,000. I think in discussions with staff, we are probably looking at more like \$75,000 on that item. We will get into that discussion a little bit later about security and the kinds of changes we are anticipating.

Landscape maintenance will go up with both expanded area and additional flowers and perennials. You can see there is a transformation going on right now on the Boulevard. Lennar is leading the renovation on that. We had a walk-through with the landscaper and there are some significant re-designs: expanding annual beds for each village; reshaping and redefining the entrances. The main entrance is going to be rejuvenated, etcetera. There are some really great things coming along.

Lake maintenance is about the same. Pool maintenance is about the same - a little more. We have combined general maintenance and repairs into one category and bumped it from \$20,000 to \$26,000.

Utilities, we are anticipating some additional. That is based on a schedule and just as the community expands there will be some additional utility work.

We have put in a \$5,000 contingency for items that we cannot foresee at this time, but there are always things that pop up. We have allocated \$10,000 for reserves.

That is a thumbnail sketch of the general fund, which is the operations and maintenance budget. There are also some supporting notes behind there

starting on Page 2. There are some maintenance schedules, like around Page 7. Utilities, Page 8. Then Pages 9 through 12 will be the debt service fund budgets.

Those are the repayment on the bonds. Those are driven by an amortization schedule. They would list some interest and principal payments for the Series '95 and 2002 bonds. Again, the long-term bond is the '95. That is the one the residents pay your proportionate share. The 2002 bond is the one that the developer covers with closings.

That is a thumbnail sketch of the budget. Again, we would present it to the Board, and we would be looking for a motion to approve the Resolution 2002-9 setting the public hearing and accepting it for discussion purposes. If you want to do a little work on it or have some discussion today, we can do that. If not, we can move it on to the next meeting - whatever your preference is.

Mr. Lawson stated I would rather continue that, because I would like the homeowners to have the opportunity to get copies of this, too, because we want to have a lot of interaction with the residents in the District to communicate this budget here, and see what other type of suggestions we can get from the residents and then see how that goes.

We think this has some good coverage, such as particularly the reserve item needs to be put in place now. It has not been there. We need to start collecting a reserve component. Walls and things have to be repainted, and we want that reserve building up so that by the time we leave and the residents have control over this District, you have funding in place to take care of all the physical structures that the District will own, including the pool and all that. This will cover that in the future.

Mr. Daugirda stated the public hearing is set for July 16, 2002 at 9:00 a.m. here at this model center, 1123 Conch Court. The other component of the Resolution, it does receive or approve this as a draft budget, again, for the purpose of conducting the public hearing for further discussions on it.

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, with all in favor, Resolution 2002-9 was approved.

(Whereupon, Item 5 was addressed.)

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

Mr. Straley stated the refunding of the District's 1997 Bonds occurred without a hitch. That refunding affects only the developer. It has no effect on residents.

B. Engineer

Mr. Daugirda stated in your packets is a request for approval of Requisition Number 181 payable to Geo Surv 3, Inc. in the amount of \$321.65.

That is all I see in terms of requisitions.

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Floyd, with all in favor, Requisition 181 was approved.

(Whereupon, Mr. Straley and Mr. Piercefield left the meeting by phone and Item 3 was addressed.)

C. Manager

Mr. Daugirda stated to go back, a big item to discuss is security. There was some discussion about that. Right now, interestingly enough, the company that is in place is ITS. They are charging us \$8.34 an hour for guard service, which is a very favorable rate in the market. However we have also asked for additional proposals, including video monitoring possibilities and additional support information on that.

That information was sent to Betty, also; however, it is approximately, for camera monitoring, they lease equipment and charge approximately \$150 to \$250 per month, per camera for monitoring. That is one thing that has been circulating.

We have also had some additional vandalism at the pool. We have been taking care of that as needed. I guess some residents did apprehend some individuals. There were six individuals. The police responded. I sent letters to the parents and they have not been particularly forthcoming. We got the repair bills. I am going to follow-up with a letter to them now asking for direct reimbursement.

There was only one individual that returned my call, and they were kind of minimizing their kid's behavior and saying the other kids were involved. I said, that is interesting, but it is like a partnership. Everybody is out there wreaking havoc. You are responsible. Unless you get the other kids' parents to take full responsibility, then that is fine. I will follow-up with letters on that asking them to pay the District back for the cost of repairs.

An audience member asked what recourse do we have?

Mr. Daugirda responded again, I can communicate with the police that responded. They investigated and took some action. The first part is to dialogue with them and see if the parents will step up to the plate. That is kind of a thumbnail sketch on the pool security situation. I know they modified the hardware and the gates and such. Doris is doing a lot with programs and swimming lessons, et cetera. There is some positive action going there, too.

The question, I guess, is if the Board wants Staff to go ahead and enhance the situation, we have some funding issues. One way is we could take action this fiscal year and kind of repay it in the next one - that is one option.

Mr. Lawson stated I think we need a monitoring camera at the pool. I believe Critical Intervention Services is the only company we know of that does this. They will put up a video monitoring system and they also have 24-hour monitoring services on that area.

An audience member asked is it a phone number on the wall kind of thing that says, if you see vandalism here call this number?

Mr. Lawson responded no, they monitor it 24 hours a day.

Mr. Daugirda stated they are watching the camera.

Mr. Lawson stated they have cameras.

An audience member stated they are actually watching it, so they will dispatch the police if they see kids goofing around there.

Mr. Daugirda stated right, and/or their staff.

Mr. Lawson stated we kind of checked and said, well surely there are other companies, because they are not real inexpensive. However, considering the constant problem we are having with damage and vandalism, I think it is an action the Board will have to take. I am suggesting to the Board, and I think it is \$150 a month for monitoring, but we will see. If it is more than one camera, I think it is \$150 a month and we will see if they do more than one camera.

I think given the damage that we have had to repair over the years, it is not going to go away. This will at least be a significant deterrent, because we will put notices up and the District will notify every homeowner that this is going to be installed and that there will be constant, 24-hours a day/7 days a week monitoring service watching that whole pool area. That should significantly reduce the vandalism that we have had out there.

I would like the Board to approve U.S. Home and Lennar staff to go ahead and make arrangements to secure the agreement and the facility and get it installed now. I make that motion.

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, with all in favor, U.S. Home and Lennar staff are authorized and directed to proceed with an agreement and installation of equipment for security monitoring.
---

Mr. Lawson stated I think out of fairness to the District, we should just prorate it for next year. We will fund it now, get it all in, and amortize it out next year. No interest or anything, let us just get it in. It needs to be put in.

Mr. Daugirda stated okay.

I think I mentioned that there are some significant upgrades with the landscaping throughout the community. There was a walk-through with the Lennar team and you can see the work in progress. It is going to be the

entire Boulevard and common areas.

We did implement an electrical maintenance contract. A&H went through the property and made the appropriate changes. Replaced bulbs and did some improvements to some of the fixtures. Lennar is spearheading some improvements, including pressure washing the pool decks, repairing some of the drainage issues at the park, freshening up the monuments. I think Construction is installing a well to assist the irrigation further up the Boulevard.

There have been some hardware repairs at the pool area. There have been some comments in the E-mails, but the pool furniture has been ordered and is in the pipeline. We are anticipating that fairly soon. That is primarily it. That is my report. The next item would be any supervisor requests or audience comments.

(Whereupon, Item 6 was addressed.)

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Financial Statements, Approval of Invoices and Requisition

Mr. Daugirda stated also as a housekeeping matter, in your packet the first blank tab will be the invoice list. That is dated May 14, 2002. Those are our routine operational invoices.

Mr. Lawson asked does the District have sufficient funds to pay these invoices?

Mr. Daugirda responded yes.

Mr. Lawson stated it is not noted.

Mr. Daugirda stated it should be on the balance sheet that we have received our tax monies and that we have the funds. We are okay. We have approximately \$100,000 in operating funds. We have received virtually all of the assessments. We are in pretty good shape on that.

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Floyd, with all in favor, the May 14, 2002 invoice list was approved.

(Whereupon, Item 4(C) was addressed.)

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments

Mr. Adler stated my name is Andrew Adler. I guess I will start with a more general question. Is there a procedure or a form for a homeowner to make a request or call something to the attention of the CDD Board, or is this the forum to do it in?

Mr. Daugirda responded you can contact me, Dave Rothwell and others. I know Tony Parletore and Dave, in particular, have been very helpful in terms

of E-mailing me. We pass it on to the Board.

Mr. Adler stated I am thinking in terms of something that is more official. Like when you have to put in an ARC request to the homeowners board, it is documented. It is recorded. There is a response time and procedure for it. Is there a parallel thing for something in the community where you can communicate something that is of concern or needs to be done that has a procedural response that is sort of formal?

Mr. Daugirda responded as Mr. Rothwell can attest, I typically call you back the day after I get that, and/or send a written response. We have a system in place. We do not have a particular form.

Mr. Lawson asked are we are talking, though, about just something you see out in the community that has been lacking maintenance or things like that?

Mr. Adler responded right.

Mr. Lawson stated if so, Mr. Daugirda is the one to contact. He will then contact the maintenance company. If it is more of a formal-type presentation, it needs to come to the Board. Basically, Mr. Daugirda is authorized to go ahead and make decisions on field matters, because I do not want to be involved in that.

Mr. Adler stated understood. Then I will just take my list up with Mr. Daugirda later.

Mr. Daugirda stated please do. There may be some things that are already in process. The Board, obviously, sets policy for the big picture. The day-to-day stuff, we have contractors that we forward everything to.

E-mails regarding landscape maintenance, et cetera, or pond maintenance, I turn around and immediately fire it to the pond people. They send back a response that it has been taken care of and I follow-up with the homeowner.

Mr. Adler stated the only one on my list that might be beyond kind of ordinary maintenance is the park on Caladeci which is very nice, but when the landscape guys put the sod in, it seemed like they used two-thirds as much sod as they needed and stretched it. If you run across that grass, you will break your ankle. It is a real problem.

None of us can let our kids run around out there. You cannot throw a ball or run on that grass surface. You have to walk and be careful all the time. I do not know what the solution is. However, that seems like more than a day-to-day maintenance thing, if you are going to regrade that thing.

Ms. Fisher stated I am Betty Fisher. I have a question about the park on Caladeci. That particular lot, was that not chosen because it was not suitable for a home to be built there because of possible sink age?

Mr. Lawson responded stated I will respond as the District, because this is a District meeting. There was an event that took place on one of those home sites several years ago. A depression formed in one of the very back corners of the home site. It was totally filled and compacted. We even had a

geo-technical engineer certify it totally safe. However, U.S. Homes made a decision not to market those two home sites and proceeded to have the property rezoned for a neighborhood park.

The District bought the land and U.S. Homes funded all the equipment, which is being paid - over several years - back to U.S. Homes from a reserve in one of the older bonds. It does not impact the homeowners at all.

Mr. Rothwell stated I am Dave Rothwell. I have several questions. The first question, if you could give us a little bit more feedback about the landscaping changes. At the last meeting there was a lot of discussion about responsibility of Central Florida Landscaping and the demise of the earlier landscaping and the cost of replacement, that sort of thing. I am curious, who is funding the replacement of the stuff out there; is that something that the builder is doing?

Mr. Lawson responded Lennar Corporation is funding everything you see now. What we are doing is incorporating that enhanced landscaping to be incorporated into next year's budget, because there is going to be more. There are going to be some annuals and things planted. It is going to be a nicer look. Next year, the budget needs to incorporate probably a little bit more labor involved to maintain it. What is going on now is being funded by Lennar.

Mr. Rothwell asked how did you guys end up with CFL; did they cover any of the cost of any of this, or did they just stalemate us?

Mr. Daugirda stated there was an accommodation with them.

Mr. Rothwell stated I was just curious how cooperative they were.

Mr. Daugirda stated they were. We had a full site meeting and they did some accommodations.

Mr. Rothwell asked what is the schedule for completion?

Mr. Daugirda responded they are fast-tracking it, is my understanding. I do not know the specific completion date, but I do know that charging orders to Lennar were, get it in and get it done as fast as possible.

Mr. Leatham stated Betty Valente is spearheading that. The idea is to knock it out as quickly as possible.

Mr. Rothwell stated I have questions about the pool. We talked about security, which everybody agrees is a good thing. I think it will help a lot.

I am usually the guy who is the one who has to sign the complaints to the police and deal with all that when we have the vandalism. I get a lot of first-hand looks at it.

My big question is, we put in the security and, in theory that is going to solve the vandalism problems, or deter some of it. Now that we are going to put that in place, what is the plan for getting the rest of the complex back there brought back up to its original standard?

In last year's budget meetings that I attended, the discussion was we were going to resurface the pool deck. We were going to buy all new

furniture. We were going to repaint. Thus far, virtually none of that has occurred. I think they painted the floors back there so far, but that is it.

Pool furniture, I have been told has been on order now for over two months. I could drive down the street and buy it in two hours. I do not see why it takes two months to get it. If, in theory, we are getting a better cost, I would like to know what that cost savings is so that we better understand why it takes us two months of residents now having the use of it, and decide whether that cost trade off is worth it.

We literally have four chairs back there for a community of about 400 homes. There is no way people can do it. It is kind of embarrassing, even when I have guests over, to see residents carrying their lawn chairs from their houses to the pool. Why do we have to have that happening?

I have talked to Doris, directly, and that was like a month-and-a-half ago, and she told me we would have chairs within two weeks. I would like to know what is the real schedule for the chairs. If we are only saving five bucks a chair because we bought them in a larger package, to me, five bucks a chair is not worth waiting months to get them.

Mr. Lawson stated I would like to make a suggestion. If you could specifically detail all those issues and E-mail them to Mr. Daugirda, and he will forward them over to Lennar. I will personally have responses sent back addressing each of those items - time frames, and have specific answers for that.

Mr. Rothwell stated I think most of them I have asked on, but I can put them all together in one e-mail.

Mr. Lawson stated I will personally get Lennar's people to make sure that they will give time frames, and then respond back to you instead of through Mr. Daugirda. I will have them respond directly back to you. Because if they are working on things, that is fine. If they are trying to buy 1200 chairs for eight other clubhouses in one major buy, that may be part of the delay.

Mr. Rothwell stated that is what they are doing.

Mr. Lawson stated I have been in meetings discussing the courts. The resurfacing of the tennis courts, the whole coating would have to be completely removed and redone. All that, I know, is being scheduled, but we need to come up with some specificity, give it to the residents so they know what is going on. That is a fair question and you need to be provided answers.

Mr. Rothwell stated it would be nice to know. Residents are asking me a lot. They know that I come to these meetings, so I get a lot of people - literally people I do not even know - coming to my door saying, hey, I know you come to these meetings, what is the scoop with the pool. I have to kind of just kick the ground and stare down and say, well, I think it is this or that. I cannot give them any firm answers. It is a problem. It would be

nice to get some of that stuff square.

As far as pool security goes, you guys voted to put that in. Do you have a time estimate on how long it will take you to get that put in, or is that within a month or a week?

Mr. Lawson responded we will find out. I know we have the proposal. They have already discussed it.

Mr. Rothwell stated I saw one invoice in here for another replacement of pool ring - the safety flotation ring at the pool. In the meeting of November, when I sat here, it was agreed upon by the Board that we would buy the better pool ring enclosures to mount on the walls that would secure the safety equipment so that it would not be either stolen or miss-used as toys and that sort of thing. That still has not happened.

It kind of bothers me that the pool people, Sunshine, who were supposed to do that for us so that we did not have to keep paying for rings, they have not done it, and now they are billing us for a replacement ring.

Granted, okay, we need the ring. It is thirty bucks. I do not really care about the expense so much as the whole intent of getting the enclosures was to avoid having to pay for unnecessary replacement and to make the place a little more safe and secure for people who might have a problem and really need a pool ring, rather than searching for it.

Can we get that done? Can we pressure Sunshine in some way beyond what we have been trying to do? I know Mr. Daugirda has talked to them several times.

Mr. Daugirda responded they said it was on order and never put it up. I will follow-up today as soon as we are done.

Mr. Rothwell stated it would be good to get that out of the way, too. Then, at the last meeting, I asked for consideration of installation of a speed bump at the exit of the pool area in the parking lot, because we have so many teenagers who come there in their cars and they do not stop at the stop sign.

The stop sign was installed shortly after November's meeting when I asked for the stop sign. That stops maybe ten percent. We asked for the speed bump, and Mr. Daugirda informed me that that was turned down by the Board. I would like to know why.

Mr. Lawson responded I think one thing we want to do is that would be an item I would like to get more of an impression from the total community. If we sent out a flyer with some suggestions of things we would like to do, or suggestions of a speed bump at that entrance, and if we come back with ninety responses and eighty say, yes, they want it, we would probably put it in.

I would rather get a feel for what the community, as a whole, would like to see there. It may very well be a good suggestion, but I do not want to make that kind of decision then we get calls from 100 residents saying, why did you put that in; I did not want that in. That has happened many times

before in other communities. I would want a better feel for that.

Mr. Rothwell stated I have no problem with that. My next question is, okay then, why did the Board just simply make the decision to turn it down rather than take the action and survey the community at that point in time when I made the request? Why was it just turned down, tabled and forgotten? That should not be happening.

When I bring it up at the meetings, I would like to think that there is some follow through. If it is just going to be summarily dismissed because no one wants to take the time and effort to survey the community, that is an issue. I believe we still have the web site. We could put the survey question there and get responses if we need to. We can circulate the flyers. That is not a problem either. If you produce the question list you want, we can get it circulated.

Mr. Lawson stated good.

Mr. Rothwell stated that is not really a problem. It seems to be more of an issue of follow through from the perspective of the Board - no offense. We keep bringing up issues, and it is like a hot topic for twenty minutes while we are at this meeting, and then it is like out-of-sight/out-of-mind. Unless I bring it up again, it never would be brought up.

An audience member stated it just seems though that a speed bump over on Barrington by the rec center - I mean, I live on Caladeci, and I might want a speed bump on my street and no one else in the whole community that does not live on my street could care less about it. I could care less about that speed bump.

You are not going to get the general population to agree to something that is going to slightly inconvenience them, but the marginal enhanced safety to just those residents whose kids walk back and forth, is huge. It seems like it is not a fair sampling to ask the folks who do not live anywhere near it where it is only going to slow us down. Of course we do not want it. However, that is beside the point. It is not our kids that are walking back and forth in front of it.

Mr. Daugirda stated let us clarify the facts on this. You are talking about a speed bump in the parking lot, not on the street.

An audience member stated it is from the parking lot to the street.

Mr. Rothwell stated right. As I understand it, we have the ability to put that there if we choose to. It is not a County issue, because it is on the CDD property. I agree with him. I personally believe it is just a matter of the Board taking issue with the safety concerns and putting the issue of the safety of children above and beyond whether somebody likes their shocks to get replaced a little sooner because they have to go over a bump when they drive through.

I am not looking for it on the entrance, just the exit side. I do not need it all the way across.

An audience member stated then everybody just goes around it.

Mr. Rothwell stated I guess some people would probably try to do that. Maybe we would need it all the way across. I agree with him on that. At what point do we draw the line, then, of where we let resident concerns override safety issues?

Mr. Daugirda asked how fast are they coming out?

Mr. Rothwell responded fast enough to squeal their tires and fast enough to slide, sometimes, to where their rear end slides around.

An audience member stated I play basketball regularly up at the courts. It is not a resident problem. It is a non-resident problem of a lot of kids that are in this neighborhood playing basketball in the evenings that do not live here. They do not get to vote. I do not know what the controls are on that, and we have talked about a year ago what the resident control or access to the facilities were.

However, I know that two-thirds of the people on the basketball court do not live in this community. They are the ones, I am guessing. Because, I drive too fast most of the time, but when I am anywhere near where I live and where there are kids, I am more sensitive to it. They are not near their homes and they are not sensitive to it. I think we all tend to do the same thing.

Mr. Rothwell stated that is my next topic brought right into that. At the meeting in November, it was agreed, from what I can remember, that we would take the steps necessary to begin restricting access to the facilities back there to residents or non-residents who pay a fee. Thus far it has been six months now and we have not really seen any progress in that area. What has been happening in the last six months to get that in place?

As I understand it, we have the agreement and everyone said yes, we want to do that. However nothing ever happened after that. It is another one of those issues. What is going on?

Mr. Lawson responded I do not have enough information. I will have to see what Lennar's staff is doing or the clubhouse staff is doing to see what they are envisioning to do.

Mr. Rothwell stated that is about it for me. I do not have any other questions at the moment.

Mr. Daugirda asked do you want to frame that in an e-mail?

Mr. Lawson responded why do you not put it together, send it in with all the issues he brought up and all the other input we have received.

Mr. Rothwell stated one thing I would like to get an opinion on, too, we are going to pursue what is happening with the pool and pool furniture, and that is great. However, that is still going to take time. Residents are still carrying their chairs to the pool. Do we have the ability to go down the street, buy some chairs, and put some actual chairs out there in the meantime to at least put a dozen of them out there to try to help the

residents a little bit?

Mr. Daugirda responded we put the resin chairs out there, but somebody took them

Mr. Rothwell stated those ended up thrown in the pool. The HOA has removed their chairs.

Mr. Daugirda stated there were about 10 or 12 resin chairs out there.

Mr. Rothwell stated yes, those lightweight plastic resin chairs you would sit around a round table. They are not lounge chairs. They are not the kind of chairs a person can sit by the pool and typically want to stretch out on. They, more frequently than not, end up in the pool because kids find that they are very light and they throw them in the pool. They toss them in and play with them and whatever, and carry them off sometimes.

Mr. Daugirda asked why do we not see what the ETA is on the pool furniture?

Mr. Rothwell responded I could call Doris right after this meeting.

Mr. Lawson stated let us find out what is going on.

Mr. Daugirda stated if they are scheduled for delivery this week, then it makes sense to hold the fire for a couple of days.

Mr. Rothwell stated yes, if we could get an actual date. We have been asking for this stuff since last year. At all the meetings, we have been bringing it up saying, we know we are going to have this issue next summer, we are not going to have chairs.

Summer is sort of here and on the weekends now, there may be fifty people down there. They are either sitting on towels, or on their own chairs.

Everyone is pretty much not happy with the situation. It does not help anyone in this room when all the residents think that we are not doing our jobs when we go to the meetings. It would be nice to get that squared.

Mr. Leatham stated call Doris as soon as we get out of here and find out.

Mr. Cooper stated my name is Nathan Cooper and I would like to ask you two questions. I am new to the community, but I saw something yesterday. When the contractors break pieces of cement that have been put like where the drains are, is this something that you are interested in?

Mr. Daugirda responded absolutely.

Mr. Rothwell stated we have had that happen before back in our area and the contractors have been sent back out to fix it.

Mr. Cooper stated Building Supply busted the drain on Lahoya on the street. It had been broke before.

The other question I had was on your web site. How many hits do you usually get there if you are going to run a survey?

An audience member responded I do not monitor it, but I can find out.

Mr. Cooper stated because if not many people are looking at your web site, a survey on your web site is not going to be very helpful.

May 14, 2002

Northwood C. D. D.

An audience member stated a lot of people do go on it, I know, but I do not know the exact figure.

An audience member stated I do not think they have done a survey since they got the new My Northwood.

An audience member stated I do not think they have.

An audience member stated there was an old web site and there had been a survey on it that related to some road issues. However, I do not think there has been a survey conducted on this web site. It is only about six or eight months old, that I am aware of.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adj ournment

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned at 9:50 a.m.
---

---

Secretary / Assistant Secretary

---

Chairman / Vice Chairman