

**MINUTES OF MEETING
NORTHWOOD
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Northwood Community Development District was held Tuesday, July 16, 2002 at 9:00 A.M. at the Model Center, 1123 Conch Court, Wesley Chapel, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Michael Lawson	Chairman
Larry Floyd	Vice Chairman (via phone)
Rich Leatham	Supervisor

Also present were:

John Daugirda	Assistant Manager
Mark Straley	Attorney (via phone)
Dayne Piercefield	Engineer (via phone)
Mike Dady	Supervisor elect
Bill Devasher	Supervisor elect
Paolo Bechert	Supervisor elect
Dave Rothwell	Resident

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Daugirda called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. with roll call. Supervisors Lawson, Floyd and Leatham were present constituting a quorum.

We have one seat that is vacant. It is my understanding that Mr. Herman has also offered his resignation. Let us go ahead and fill one of the vacancies first, if there is a nomination.

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, Mike Dady was appointed to the Board.

Mr. Daugirda stated the next step would be to accept the resignation of Mr. Herman. Do you have a replacement in mind on that?

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, with all in favor, the resignation of Tom Herman was accepted and Bill Devasher was appointed to the Board.

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, with all in favor, the resignation of Larry Floyd was accepted and Paolo Bechert was appointed to the Board.

(Whereupon, Mr. Floyd left the meeting.)

(Whereupon, the oath of office was administered to Mr. Dady, Mr. Devasher and Mr. Bechert.)

Mr. Daugirda stated we have five supervisors present.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the April 24, and May 14, 2002 Meetings

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, with all in favor, the minutes of the April 24, and May 14, 2002 meetings were approved.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Hearing Adopting the Budget for the Fiscal Year 2003

Mr. Daugirda stated we will deem the public hearing to now be open concerning adoption of the budget for Fiscal Year 2003. We have previously discussed and circulated a budget for the general fund, which starts on Page 1 with supporting notes.

Then the debt service fund starts on Page 9. That involves the Series 1995 bonds and the Series 2002 bonds. The debt service budget is pretty straightforward. It tracks the amortization schedule for both income and expenditures.

The general fund, we have done some work on that. That is in reasonably good shape, I believe. What we are looking at this point is a budget of \$348,275 for operations and maintenance. This would be an opportunity for the public. Mr. Rothwell is here, a resident; do you have any input or do the supervisors have any comments?

Mr. Dady stated I will just note for the record that I will be abstaining from this vote, as a newly elected official, until I get more familiar. However, if you do not mind, I will ask a couple of questions.

Total debt service remaining on the Series 1995 bonds?

Mr. Daugirda responded that is in the agenda packet under the balance sheet. The outstanding indebtedness is \$3,815,000.

Mr. Dady stated the attached budget on Page 9 tracks the maintenance but not the payment

schedule.

Mr. Daugirda stated Pages 9 and 10 relate to the Series '95 bonds. You can see from the amortization schedule that is a long-term bond. That is for the master infrastructure. The payments that are going to be due in the upcoming year are redlined.

Mr. Dady stated I just wanted to get an idea of the magnitude.

Mr. Lawson stated I know the landscaping, as we had discussed previously, would be going up. It is going to be a nicer, probably more intense look. It is probably going to require more maintenance. Are we in good shape with that now?

Mr. Daugirda responded yes, we are. As a matter of fact, they are doing the installation. Members of the Lennar team and myself met with them and worked it out.

Mr. Lawson asked on gate attendants - I know we have the camera system - is that installed now?

Mr. Daugirda responded it has not been. Betty Valenti was supposed to talk with you about that. The guy came out and reviewed it. They can put a camera, like a fish-eye camera type of thing, in the cabana and get detail in that area.

The original thought from John Enslow was to go across by the tennis courts up on a pole and shoot from there. However, you are going to have limited exposure, and not a lot of detail. We are trying to find the right optimum balance between detail, coverage and cost.

Mr. Lawson stated the pool seems to have the major issues. The tennis courts are not vandalized. We are really focusing on the pool and the cabana.

Mr. Daugirda stated it would be from the tennis court over to the pool - across the pool.

Mr. Lawson asked when will that process be finished? At the last meeting, we wanted it installed.

Mr. Daugirda responded I understand. This would be double or triple the cost to put a different configuration of cameras in. We are still trying to work out the optimum one.

Mr. Lawson asked the increase in the gate attendants, that is encompassing the cost of this additional camera?

Mr. Daugirda responded correct.

Mr. Lawson stated that is a \$27,000 increase. The quote I was given was around five or six thousand to install the camera, and about \$150 a month to monitor. They are not changing the gate attendant hours or anything like that?

Mr. Daugirda responded no, we are not, although the vendor was talking about increased

prices because they were extremely low. Like in the neighborhood of \$6 an hour for the guards. They are asking for it, and we are reviewing that.

Mr. Lawson stated the problems we have been having out here is the pool area has continually been a target for teenagers and kids. Most of them seem to be residents. There seem to be some outside people coming in, as well. We are having routine repair bills and things are being stolen.

Therefore, we worked out with Critical Intervention Services to put in a camera system and they will monitor them 24 hours a day. We will have direct access to the police or sheriff's department to call. We think that will be a very good deterrent. We need to get the ultimate angle.

Mr. Daugirda stated you live here; you take a special interest in the pool. Do you think if it was just covering underneath the cabana that would be adequate? Or, do you feel like it should be broadened? That seems to be where most of the damage has been occurring.

Mr. Rothwell responded my concern about mounting it inside the cabana is more potential for the camera itself to be damaged. If it is installed out on the pole high out of reach, no one is going to tear it off the wall or spray it with black paint, or something we can all foresee might happen.

It seems to be maybe we should just bear the burden of the initial expense to get it mounted in the correct location.

Mr. Lawson stated at least with a wide angle, we certainly would be able to identify who had been around the pool at any given time. If damage occurred, we could probably narrow it down to a certain group who were there.

Mr. Daugirda stated we will work out that detail with the vendors and Betty.

Mr. Rothwell stated I have another question about that same area. How does the camera work after dark when the lights are out? Does it have a night vision kind of setup?

Mr. Daugirda responded that is a good question.

Mr. Rothwell stated if not, we need to install a few more extra lighting areas around to get some low level lighting after dark. Right now, we only have it in the parking lot. It might not hurt to put one up inside the cabana area that comes on when the sun goes down. Just to give it low level lighting that is not intrusive to residents.

Mr. Dady stated if the complex is fenced, though, on the rear. If a vandal was coming in, he would have to go through the parking lot. You would catch his image.

Mr. Lawson stated if it is dark, we need to have enough lighting in there.

A Supervisor asked do you do motion detectors, or actually do low lighting?

A Supervisor responded that is probably a good solution. Motion detector light, and then just

like the nature films, when the captive animal is in the area, take his picture. That is a good idea.

Mr. Rothwell stated another thing we could do would be to change the timer on the actual lights in the pool so that they are on overnight. Right now, they come on when the sun goes down - they are triggered, I guess - and they run until about ten or eleven o'clock, then they go off. When people are actually in the pool, which we have had - teenagers come and jump in the pool - it is going to be hard to see down there and even tell if somebody is in the water. That is a pretty simple change.

Mr. Daugirda stated there is some money in the contingency lines here for that. I can see where all night lighting in the pool would be a great invitation for people to swim all night. We will have to figure that one out. There are regulations regarding the level of lighting. We probably would not have that, because it is fairly comprehensive. I like the idea of the motion detector.

Mr. Lawson stated let us test it and see what we may need in the future. Staff should go ahead and find a means of getting it done.

Mr. Daugirda asked anything else you want to amend, add, delete, modify on the budget? The administrative is essentially the same.

Mr. Dady asked how has the budget been holding up on lake maintenance and specifically wetland plantings with the drought? Now we are getting rain, but has there been any additional cost on exotics?

Mr. Daugirda responded no. We are doing okay on that.

Mr. Dady asked are you spraying for cattails, for instance, and that type thing in your lake maintenance?

Mr. Daugirda responded we have lake maintenance and mitigation combined here. We have some additional funds in repairs. We put a \$26,000 item in for repairs, which would cover contingency items. We have a \$5,000 contingency also. Repairs are kind of the catchall. This could encompass the electrical project we are talking about, and that sort of thing. There is a little bit of money to move around on these.

Mr. Rothwell stated I have several more questions. The first one is just on the budget in general, is this the meeting where the budget is going to be voted upon and approved? Last year, at the July meeting, it was not voted on. I believe that was done in a September meeting.

Mr. Lawson stated it has to be approved in July to get it on the tax roll.

Mr. Daugirda stated this is it. This is the public hearing.

Mr. Rothwell stated my first question relates to the item Repairs under Maintenance for \$26,000. Last year, we had basically \$20,000 allocated, which was split up between the general

maintenance and repairs - it was \$10,000 and \$10,000.

Last year, one of the items that came out in the distribution packet to me was an itemized list of what that \$20,000 was going to take care of. To my knowledge, most of the things on this list have not been addressed this year. I would like to know, is there still money in the budget this year to do them? If not, I want to make sure that they are included in this figure this year so we can continue and get them done.

Mr. Lawson stated looking at this budget, it looks like the projection for the current 2002 budget is they will have spent almost \$20,000, but it is all under repairs. They did not put anything against the general maintenance line. It looks like that budget has pretty much been used up.

Mr. Rothwell stated right. That is what I am trying to figure out. Of the items that were basically given to me - the resident public - as these are the things we are going to spend your money on, those things were not done with the money. Other things were done with the money.

My question is, okay, last year these things needed to be done. They still need to be done. Are they in the budget this year, or are they still scheduled to be done this year?

Mr. Daugirda responded if you could specify, because there were a lot of items that were accomplished. Lennar came in and did a complete re-evaluation.

Mr. Rothwell stated there are a couple of things on there that were done.

Mr. Daugirda stated there are a lot more outside of what was on their nickel, outside of what was budgeted for even.

Mr. Rothwell stated I am not really questioning how the money was spent. I am sure the money was all spent in good ways for the community. What I am trying to make sure of is that all the things that were considered essential repairs for this year just do not get forgotten. That is what I am after. I would like to see them at least taken care of this year.

If I go through the list, then you guys can tell me what your intentions are, or you can add them to your list for next year.

The first one was acid wash and paint the pool deck. There was a \$1560 estimate applied to that. That has not been done yet this year.

Mr. Daugirda stated they did clean the pool deck.

Mr. Rothwell stated they pressure washed it. They did not do a very good job. That is a far cry from acid wash and paint. It is still very dirty, black in places from mold and mildew. That is Item Number 1.

Item Number 2 was acid wash and paint the cabana entrance walls, doors and floor. That was

allocated \$310.

Mr. Devasher stated the floor was washed and painted this year.

Mr. Rothwell stated I am not aware of which ones have been done or not.

Mr. Devasher stated I believe the deck was power washed, as well.

Mr. Daugirda stated there was painting at the cabana.

Mr. Rothwell stated I remember seeing the floor get painted.

Pool furniture - that is a major point of contention to a lot of residents. There is furniture there now. The allocation that was suggested for pool furniture was \$5,000, which was the estimated cost to replace the furniture with the same comparable quality. What we have down there now is a far less quality piece of furniture, and was probably put in at a lot lower cost to the budget.

The question is- is this furniture all we are ever going to get? Is this interim furniture until you get the security measure in and then we are going to get furniture that is more comparable to the neighborhoods out in the New Tampa area, or are we going to continue to have furniture that kind of looks like you have a pool in the projects? That is the residents' concern at this point.

Do you have any response to that?

Mr. Lawson responded we will have to check into what was spent for that furniture. I could not tell you.

Mr. Rothwell stated I do not have a clue. I would guess it was not \$5,000. I would like to know that, as well.

The next one was kind of an ambiguous one. It says, tile the walls. I am not even sure what that was intended to be. I think it might have been intended as far as all the tile repairs.

Mr. Daugirda stated which has been done.

Mr. Rothwell stated that was a \$3,000 expense.

Pressure clean all the entries and pavers a few times each year to keep them up. I know we have done them once this year. It was felt last year that that should be done twice a year just for general maintenance at a cost of \$500.

Pressure clean the pool area a few times a year. Another cost of \$500.

I am pretty sure this one has not been done - sealing of the brick pavers at the entrance. They are starting to get a lot of oil stains and things like that on them. There was discussion about pressure washing those and getting those sealed to protect them. The cost was \$975.

There was a general entry for repairs for vandalism - a couple of thousand dollars - which I am assuming we still, have that on going.

There was a \$5,000 expense for installing the camera equipment, which was supposed to be done last year. I know that is on going.

Monthly camera charges.

Mr. Lawson asked did we amend the budget for that \$5,000, because that was never in the original budget?

Mr. Daugirda responded he is reading from a memo or some notes.

Mr. Lawson stated some of those things you are discussing were never in the budget. It was stuff the developer is going to spend, but it was never in your original adopted budget. Some of those items, like the \$5,000 security, were never in your original budget for last year. Maybe we amended the budget to include it - I do not remember - but it was never in the original budget.

Mr. Rothwell stated I am just going by what I had. This was included as an insert stapled together with my proposed budget packet that I got last year. I am just going by what I have. At the top it reads, "Repairs, General Maintenance, Capital Outlay." I am just going by what I have.

If the money was provided through other means, that is okay with me. It is not out of my pocket at that point, so I am happy.

Last year there was just a general entry for miscellaneous repairs and maintenance, \$500 a month. That was it.

My concern is just that some of these items do not get forgotten, because obviously they were thought to be valid requirements to keep the community up. I think a few of them are still lacking.

Mr. Lawson stated that is the Manager's job, to make sure that whatever was adopted or scheduled to be done, it needs to be managed. Take a look at that listing. If there are things that still need to be scheduled, they need to be done.

Mr. Daugirda responded okay.

Mr. Rothwell stated as far as the \$26,000 that is allocated for repairs, do you guys have a rough idea of what that \$26,000 is supposed to cover? Do you have a general listing this year? The figure had to come from somewhere. Where did we come up with the figure?

Mr. Daugirda responded the backup is not in here, in particular. There has been extensive analysis of the community by the Lennar team. All the Supervisors are on Lennar's staff and others. There are other construction and facilities managers and HOA property managers that have looked at it.

I have looked at it with various people, and we believe that this is an appropriate number. Whether or not we have specific projects, we have some general ideas, but it is enough to cover it throughout the year.

A Supervisor stated you are saying this reflects a historical trend of this and other districts as an appropriate size for maintenance.

Mr. Daugirda stated that is correct. We are trying to anticipate various kinds of maintenance, like the ones you have read. There are also some unexpected things that will crop up. We feel like this is an adequate and appropriate number to cover based on historical information and our best estimate going forward.

Mr. Rothwell stated the only item that I know of that was a specific request to try to get included in the budget was resealing and repainting of the parking lot at the recreation center where the pavement is now getting a little deteriorated. The white lines are fading off the parking lot. That was requested earlier. Is that in the plan for next year's budget to do that?

A Supervisor responded I do not recall anything about the parking lot, other than the speed bump.

Mr. Lawson stated I have never heard that discussed at a meeting. Was that sent in separately?

Mr. Rothwell responded this was one that I communicated to Mr. Daugirda.

Mr. Daugirda stated I remember the communication. It was passed on.

Mr. Lawson stated it will not hurt to get a proposal for it, if it can fall within our repair budget.

Mr. Daugirda stated I made a list and you can supplement and send me additional information. Your requests seem to be pressure wash and acid wash the pool deck, enhance pool furniture, pressure wash pavers, seal brick pavers, and reseal and paint the parking lot. Those seem to be the key projects you are advocating?

Mr. Rothwell responded yes. There is one more. The roof on the cabana at the pool and recreation center, the shingles have been torn off or blown off. There are some roof repairs that need done. I do not know how much it costs to do roof repairs. It needs to be looked at.

Mr. Daugirda stated some of these little ones are easy to take care of.

Mr. Rothwell stated I just want to make sure that there is something in the budget for them. I do not want to run into the case where we have no money and we have to wait another year to get them done. Historically, we have had that problem with things where our repair budget has this estimated potential expense applied to it, then when it comes right down to it, we always fall short and we cannot quite get everything done that we would like to.

A Supervisor stated that is why we boosted it up.

Mr. Rothwell stated I see it is up a little more this year, so hopefully that will help a lot. That is about it on the budget for me.

Mr. Daugirda asked do any of the supervisors have modifications?

Mr. Rothwell stated one more question. The gate attendant expense of \$75,000. I understand the increase is for security cameras and things. It was discussed earlier that we might change security companies and do it all with this Critical Intervention. Is that where the \$75,000 figure came from, assuming their expense at the front gate?

Mr. Daugirda responded we had negotiations with them. I do not think we have reached a number that is acceptable to them within that budgeted amount. We would have to raise it higher for them. We have a very reasonable contract amount right now, below market conditions, really. We are going to see what we can do with that.

Mr. Rothwell stated that is fine. My next question, one that comes up from a lot of residents, is the gate itself. We are allocating expense to cover the gate for another entire year; do we anticipate the gate still being up through that time, or will the opening that goes out in the back - the addition onto State Road 56 - cause that to come down early so we really do not need that much money in the budget?

Do we have a forecast for the road completion or gate removal or anything like that?

Mr. Lawson responded it is up to the developer or the owner of that property. We do not own it. Again, the District does have a license maintenance agreement with Pasco County for a minimum of ten years for this gate. As long as we are complying with the ordinance and not denying access, they would have no reason to ask us to take it down.

A. Consideration of the Annual Appropriation Resolution 2002-10

Mr. Daugirda stated this resolution adopts the budget we have been looking at in Section I. Counsel will fill in the revenues raised.

Mr. Lawson stated on this budget, the only thing I wanted to change is you have Supervisor Fee a budget of \$2,000. That needs to be eliminated.

Mr. Daugirda stated you have a general election this year. There will be some expenditure. That is my recollection. There have been some residents who have communicated with the Supervisor of Elections and were planning on running. That will be this November.

Mr. Lawson stated they will serve ten months at \$200 a month. We are meeting every two months.

Mr. Daugirda stated they will be sworn in January.

Mr. Lawson asked are we talking one or two supervisors?

Mr. Daugirda responded two, I believe. It would be from January through September.

Mr. Lawson stated okay, that makes sense.

Mr. Rothwell asked what is the qualification for residential participation?

Mr. Daugirda responded you have to be a resident and a registered voter, basically. The Supervisor of Elections has a packet they have to fill out. They have to apply either by petition or pay a certain fee. It is fairly minimal, but just qualify for general office.

Resolution 2002-10 is the appropriate resolution and it adopts the budget we have been discussing. It directs Staff to work with the County taxing authorities for the levy. We are looking for a motion to approve that.

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, Resolution 2002-10 was approved by a vote of 4 to 0, with Mr. Dady abstaining.

B. Consideration of Resolution 2002-11 Levy of Non Ad Valorem Assessments

Mr. Daugirda stated next is Resolution 2002-11. That is the levy resolution. That is also what we transmit to the tax collector for purposes of the assessments on both the maintenance and debt service budgets. It is pretty straightforward. We have done these every year. We are looking for a motion to approve.

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, Resolution 2002-11 was approved by a vote of 4 to 0, with Mr. Dady abstaining.

Mr. Daugirda stated we will close the public hearing. We are back on the regular business meeting.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

(There being none, the next agenda item was addressed.)

B. Engineer - Approval of Requisitions

i. Requisition No. 001 through 005 of the 2002 Construction & Acquisition Account

Mr. Piercefield stated let me direct you to Section IV. We request the Board approve Requisitions 001 through 005 for disbursement of District funds. The disbursements are for the costs of the 2002 project. These are the costs of professionals for the refunding of the bond.

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Leatham, with all in favor, Requisition Numbers 001 through 005 were approved.

(Whereupon, Mr. Piercefield and Mr. Straley left the meeting.)

C. Manager

i. Consideration of Meeting Schedule for Fiscal Year 2003 & Selection of November Meeting Date for Landowners Election

Mr. Daugirda stated what we have is there is one position that will be open for a landowner vote. If you see in the list here, the landowner meeting will be set for November 12, 2002 at 9:00 A.M. here at the model center at 1123 Conch Court, Wesley Chapel. We would also have our regular meeting then.

Then, every odd number month there is a meeting. In 2003 it would be for January 14th, March 11th, May 14th, July 15th and September 9th, all at 9:00 A.M., all at the same location. We are looking for a motion to approve the meeting schedule. As situations change, we can modify it as we go by special motion.

ON MOTION by Mr. Dady, seconded by Mr. Devasher, with all in favor, the meeting schedule for Fiscal Year 2003 and November meeting date for the landowner's election were approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Financial Statements, Approval of Invoices and Requisition

Mr. Daugirda stated the last white tab is the invoice list dated July 16, 2002. It totals \$42,999.52.

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Dady, with all in favor, the invoice list dated July 16, 2002 totaling \$42,999.52 was approved.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments

Mr. Dady stated I would just ask to get copies of this month's Board of Director package for review, as well as subsequent ones.

Mr. Daugirda stated I will put you on the distribution list.

Mr. Rothwell stated I would like to get a few status updates on some of the work that is being done.

I see all the plantings going in; that is good. At the front entrance, because of the fact that we had the wall painting and refurbishing done before those original plants were removed, we have kind of a problem with a two-toned paint job down the walls where they did not bother to paint behind the bushes. They only painted what was actually visible.

Now, when you remove all the bushes, you can see where the painting was not done. I think we really need to get that corrected. Now that the plants are being put in and they are fairly new, young plants, there is plenty of room to get in there and get painting done and get the walls corrected.

Now it looks like that is going to be visible on going for a while until the plants mature.

Mr. Lawson asked is Betty Valenti coordinating that?

Mr. Daugirda responded let me talk to her. That is a good suggestion.

A Supervisor stated I do not think there was any plan on the painting of the walls, but I noticed that, too. That is going to have to be something we look at.

Mr. Rothwell stated it is most noticeable around the entrance. Here, it is even down the main walls, because they did paint close to the corners. Now they have removed some trees and shrubs on the corners. It is easy to spot.

Mr. Daugirda stated various village monuments.

Mr. Rothwell stated it kind of looks like within a week or so we will have most of that planting in, at the rate they are going.

A Supervisor asked who is the contractor on the landscaping?

A Supervisor responded Central Florida Landscaping. We had a little issue with sod, just because all of the sod farms were under water.

Mr. Rothwell stated I saw where they are going to resod some of the areas.

Mr. Daugirda stated they are modifying the planting beds. Making them a little more consistent. They are trying to get a more consistent look in each village, too, the same kind of plant and configurations.

Mr. Rothwell stated my next question is about the speed bump. It was approved. It is on going. Where is it? It has been about 45 days, I think, since I heard it was approved. I kind of expected it to be there by now.

Mr. Lawson stated so did I.

Mr. Bechert responded the contractor has someone working right now.

Mr. Rothwell stated these processes take time.

Mr. Daugirda stated I think you are going to see a lot more action with this new configuration, because they are all on the same team now.

Mr. Rothwell stated I have a couple more questions. We have a towing sign now up at the pool so we have a towing service in. Some residents have asked if we can develop some sort of process where residents can get a short duration permit to park a car in there if they have like visiting relatives from out-of-town and they do not have room to park the car in their own area. They want to know if we will allow that.

Mr. Lawson responded I am definitely against that.

Mr. Daugirda stated it is too ambiguous. You may not know, but Mr. Rothwell has really been active with security, overseeing and helping with the park. He has actually not been deputized, but is an agent to call in the towing company, because he lives by the park. He and his wife have been really great in terms of helping us out.

Mr. Rothwell stated we live so close to the pool that it is kind of in our own best interest to keep it up. It is worth it to me. We have actually caught some vandals. Thus far, we have had good cooperation with the local officials. A Sheriff lives in my neighborhood, so it helps a little bit.

Just to make an observation, we are still having an on-going problem with people fishing at the ponds. It is against the HOA rules. The problem we have with it is usually it is people that come in here that do not even live here that are fishing. They are not residents. They drive up on the property with their cars and get out, unload their tackle, and head to the ponds.

We have signage now, and I know that some residents have called and reported people when they spot them. It is still an on-going problem. That is about it for the ponds.

The guard gate: we get a really cheap deal, but we are also getting really cheap service. It has been a problem for the last four years since I have been here. At almost every meeting we have the same regurgitated conversation of they do not do their job; they are not there half the time when they are supposed to be.

I do what I can to report it to Mr. Daugirda, but not all residents take the initiative to do that. However, they have more than enough initiative to complain. I am not sure what we can do to fix that, short of replacing them.

Mr. Daugirda stated their hours are evenings and weekends.

Mr. Rothwell stated 6:00 to 6:00 weekdays, and 24 hours on weekends. They do not stop

anybody. I do not really see a point in having the cones.

Mr. Lawson stated probably also if anybody can take the right lane and get in, he does not have the opportunity to take down the information. Maybe he is trying to get them to come into the gatehouse. It still looks kind of odd.

Mr. Daugirda asked is there a sign, "non-residents use the left lane"?

Mr. Rothwell responded there is not a sign that indicates resident/non-resident access. Both signs right now say pull up to the gate to make it pop up. It is kind of an open invitation. It would be nice to maybe change the text on those signs so we do have resident/non-resident lanes. Most people will not approach the gate if they do not know that it is going to go up.

Mr. Daugirda stated if residents have concerns, they are not communicating them to anyone. If you see something, you are great about communicating it. If you want, in terms of security, if you could spread the word, and maybe Ms. Valenti through the HOA can tell the HOA group, if they can give me the information about when there is a problem, we can deal with it immediately - contact their supervisor and get corrective behavior. We can either have them change their behavior, or they will be fired.

Mr. Rothwell stated in the past, we have managed to get the guards replaced that were more problematic and things like that.

Mr. Daugirda stated tell your friends they cannot sit on it for a month or not communicate, because then it is too late. If you can get in there and deal with it, it is a lot better.

Mr. Rothwell stated I have communicated that to people before that the only way you get anything done is if you get involved. The problem I ran into is lack of involvement. It is a common trend.

A Supervisor asked may I ask if you are considering running for office?

Mr. Rothwell responded I do not know. Mr. Daugirda keeps asking me that question, too.

Mr. Daugirda stated you have about a ten-day window.

A Supervisor stated you are involved; you seem very reasonable and have logical concerns. I would encourage you to fill out the paperwork.

Mr. Rothwell stated I might. With the office comes responsibility. Right now, I can pretty much walk away if I decide I do not want to do it anymore. I have that luxury. That is the same reason I do not try to get on the HOA board or do anything there. I am just as effective this way. Maybe I will.

Mr. Daugirda stated you have until noon on the 26th of this month. Do not rely on me, but check with the Supervisor of Elections of Pasco County. It is my understanding it is the 26th. I am not 100 percent certain.

July 16, 2002

Northwood C. D. D.

Mr. Rothwell stated that is about it for me. Those are just general observations. If we can take care of the cone issue and maybe get the signs corrected, that is a minor expense and it might help a lot for some positive exposure to the residents instead of negative all the time at the gate.

July 16, 2002

Northwood C. D. D.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

ON MOTION by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Dady, with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.

Secretary / Assistant Secretary

Chairman / Vice Chairman