

election. We will insert information in the blanks to reflect Mr. Leatham is receiving 239 votes and he will serve a four-year term.

On MOTION by Mr. Lawson seconded by Mr. Devasher with all in favor Resolution 2003-1 was adopted.

C. Election of Officers

Mr. Daugirda stated according to the Pasco County Supervisor of Elections, Mr. Devasher's term was up for expiration and no one else qualified. Mr. Adler filed a petition but failed to complete the qualification process. The Board may re-appoint Mr. Devasher a successor.

On MOTION by Mr. Lawson seconded by Mr. Leatham with all in favor Mr. Devasher was elected to serve a four-year term.

Mr. Daugirda being a Notary Public of the State of Florida, administered the Oath of Office to Mr. Devasher; a copy of the signed oath is attached hereto and made a part of the public record.

Mr. Daugirda stated Mr. Lawson is presently the Chairman, Mr. Leatham is Vice Chairman, the remaining Supervisors are Assistant Secretaries, Mr. Moyer is Secretary, Ms. Archer is Treasurer, Mr. Mossing is Assistant Secretary and Mr. Daugirda is Assistant Secretary.

On MOTION by Mr. Devasher seconded by Mr. Lawson with all in favor the present structure of officers will remain the same.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Minutes of
the September 10, 2002
Meeting

Mr. Daugirda stated that each member of the Board received a copy of the minutes of the September 10, 2002 meeting and requested any additions, deletions or corrections.

On MOTION by Mr. Devasher seconded by Mr. Lawson with all in favor the minutes of the September 10, 2002 meeting were approved as presented.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of
Engagement Letter with
Grau & Company, P.A. for
Year Ended September 30,
2002

Mr. Daugirda stated you previously bid for auditing services and Grau & Company are the auditors we have been using. This is an annual engagement letter for the next set of audits.

Mr. Lawson stated it appears their fee is the same as what they charged last year and they do a high quality report for the District.

On MOTION by Mr. Lawson seconded by Mr. Devasher with all in favor the engagement letter with Grau & Company to perform the audit for the year ended September 30, 2002 was approved.

Ms. Nelson asked were they the low bidder?

Mr. Daugirda responded yes. We are required by statute to have an audit.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

There not being any, the next item followed.

B. Engineer – Approval of Requisitions

- i. Requisitions No. 007 through 010 of the 2002 Construction & Acquisition Account.

Mr. Piercefield stated I have three requisitions, numbered 007 through 010, for which I am request the Board's approval for disbursement of District funds.

Mr. Daugirda stated there is a trustee that administers the money and when a part of the construction is completed, Mr. Piercefield signs off on it, brings it to the Board, they approve it and it goes to the trustee for payment. This is a safeguarding process.

Mr. Piercefield stated you can see the construction on the grounds. The presod landscaping was Requisition 007 and the rest were for upgrade of the entrance signs and the walls. These are all standard construction items.

On MOTION by Mr. Lawson seconded by Mr. Leatham with all in favor Requisitions 007 through 010 were approved for payment.

Mr. Straley and Mr. Piercefield left the meeting.

C. Manager

Mr. Daugirda stated Ms. Valenti will present the security issues.

Ms. Valenti stated we have been trying to install security cameras at the recreation center. We had originally chosen to go with a company that would monitor the security system off-site. We had difficulty obtaining high-speed Internet services at a reasonable cost and, as a

result, I researched other types of security systems. I received a bid from a company called All Electronic Services. They will install two cameras with the option of color or black and white. The cost is approximately \$350 between the cost of the two cameras. These cameras can be monitored with a digital monitoring system and we will be able to have disks saved to the hard drive so we can have a record of all activities during that time. Depending on the speed setting, it could save the images for up to 30 days. There are ways to back that up if you want to keep a permanent record.

The cost for the color cameras is \$6,338 and \$5,860 for the black and white. If we decide to mount one camera outside it will be an additional \$150 for the box to protect the camera. One camera will be located over the entrance door in the Cabana area. This seems to be a consistent price with all the other companies we received bids on. This company came highly recommended for this type of monitoring system. This system is sophisticated enough to have a good life expectancy for us. If, at some time in the future, the high-speed Internet capabilities becomes available to us at the Cabana area, we could upgrade to that with very little expense. We would have to pay only the connecting services. The homeowners would be able to monitor that area from their home or you could have an off-site monitoring company review that.

Mr. Lawson asked is it going to be in the Cabana area where we have seen the most damage?

Ms. Valenti responded yes.

Mr. Lawson asked will you be able to see inside?

Ms. Valenti responded it will be mounted over the door and scan out so you can see some of the inside Cabana area and then it would scan out to the pool area. It was recommended that we install a second one near the tennis court. This way you will have complete coverage and also see some of the parking lot area.

A resident asked will we have capabilities for things like digital imaging?

Ms. Valenti responded that is possible. I am sure there would be some blow-up capabilities. I did not ask that, but I can get that information.

A resident stated we have had issues with unauthorized people parking in that area.

Ms. Valenti responded I believe they will be able to see the face of the person if they blow it up enough.

Mr. Lawson asked is this also monitored off-site?

Ms. Valenti responded no.

Mr. Lawson asked is this strictly a recording?

Ms. Valenti asked yes.

A resident asked is the camera infrared? Those lights turn off at 10:00 p.m.

Mr. Daugirda responded probably not.

A resident asked how will they get an image in the dark?

Ms. Valenti responded I do not have that information. The cameras are designed for recording at night. That was one of my specifications when I spoke with them, but he did not reply to those specifics. I do not have the specifics on the camera system itself.

A resident stated most of the vandalism occurs at night.

Mr. Daugirda stated there has been quite a bit in the afternoon also.

A resident stated it is more nebulous at night, so we should be sure to have that capability.

Mr. Devasher asked have we looked at putting motion detectors on the light system? Is it fairly economical?

Ms. Valenti responded one of the problems with the motion sensors is that it will cause a conflict with the cameras and the recording because of the flashing lights and the adjustment that the camera's eye must make. I can

verify if there is a way to adjust that, but it can cause conflict.

Mr. Lawson asked are these cameras designed for that type of light? They must understand the conditions these cameras will be working under. Do they need additional lightning protection in addition to that?

Ms. Valenti responded I did not factor that in.

Mr. Daugirda stated we will get conditional approval and work out the minor details with the contractor in terms of lighting and surge protection.

Ms. Nelson asked do we have a guaranteed life expectancy on that?

Ms. Valenti responded no. I merely received the cost for installation. That light was about 8,000 watts because we had to go with the commercial satellite. We were not allowed to go into the family-type satellite system. That was \$80 a month plus the cost of the dish, which was very expensive.

Ms. Nelson stated neighbors have mentioned to me that we need to secure the pool area. We could have them come up and stop, get the camera on the license plates and record everyone who comes in. Perhaps when they come out we could do something like that too. We could take some of this money we are spending on security and repair the pool. What are they really protecting? We finally took the screens off the pool. We've got the furniture to match. Some parents are not supervising their children. I understand getting cameras for the pool, but while we are doing that, we should do more to secure the area.

Mr. Lawson stated the problem is that most of the people who cause the vandalism do not drive.

Ms. Nelson stated we want the security that we are paying \$25,000 a year for. Now we have to pay for an expensive camera to get the license plates. Why is that?

Mr. Lawson responded because the roads are public highways and you cannot detain traffic.

Ms. Nelson asked why are you not stopping people from coming in? A lot of residents would like cameras monitoring people coming in. They also want a clubhouse there.

Mr. Daugirda stated we will take this one step at a time.

Mr. Lawson stated we will finish the agenda and then you may speak on other issues under audience comments.

Mr. Daugirda stated the proposal for the camera system at the Cabana is presently on the table.

<p>On MOTION by Mr. Lawson seconded by Mr. Leatham the installation of a security camera system at the Cabana area was approved.</p>
--

Mr. Daugirda stated we have done a lot of work and implemented a lot of projects with the help of Mr. Devasher and Ms. Valenti. The parking lot has been resurfaced and the pool deck has been resurfaced. There has been new installation that Lennar spearheaded and a lot of the maintenance has been taken care of. With the new construction of the new phase there are some new ponds for which we have solicited proposals for pond maintenance and landscape maintenance. I would like to review this carefully with a team from Lennar to determine when the phasing will occur and what the steps will be. They are roughly at \$100 a month for pond maintenance for the smaller ponds and roughly \$138 per month for the bank treatments. The maintenance varies for the larger ponds. I would like to further evaluate this.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Financial Statements and
Approval of Invoices

Mr. Daugirda stated we have a summary of funding requests number 73 through 75 for your approval, totaling

\$46,162.78. Each blank tab has a summary of that funding request.

Mr. Devasher MOVED to approve Funding Requests 73 through 75 in the amount of \$46,162.78. Mr. Lawson seconded the motion.

Mr. Lawson stated on Funding Request Number 75 with the ad valorem taxes that have gone out, has the District collected the assessments from the homeowners for the 0 & M?

Mr. Daugirda responded we will have to determine that. Typically the bill goes out on the first and our first check is around December 15th.

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor the prior motion was approved.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments

Ms. Brethen stated I wanted to discuss the bond debt and how that works. Why are some residents obligated to the bond for different periods of time? Also, are the actual budget figures through 2001 available through September 30th?

Mr. Daugirda responded I can give you a copy of the financials after the meeting. We have the budget through 2003.

Ms. Brethen asked has that been adopted?

Mr. Daugirda responded yes. It is from October 1, 2002 through September 30, 2003. We had our budget hearings in the summer, at which the Board approved the budget.

Ms. Brethen stated I am looking for what has actually transpired.

Mr. Daugirda stated we have the audited actuals through September 30th. We just approved the 2002 audit through September 30, 2002 and we will come back with that audit roughly three months from now. In terms of the debt, there is a long-term debt with the 20-year bonds. The variations on the others would be for the lots.

Mr. Lawson stated the long-term debt was issued in 1995, so if you bought a home now that goes 20 years from the bond issue. If you come in ten years down the road, you only have ten years left of the annual payments to make. We have been making the prior years' payments, since we owned the property that was subject to that debt.

Ms. Brethen asked do we have documentation with that information for review by homeowners at closing. After reviewing one of our two websites, I found there were a lot of questions about that. I would like some answers to have some clean information to pass forward.

Mr. Lawson stated that is available in the sales office. We have records of what the debts are and what part the homeowners have to assume.

Ms. Brethen asked do you have to sign for that?

Mr. Lawson responded there should be someone signing every document. Someone else would sign a disclosure.

Mr. Lawson stated there is an addendum that the home building division uses that explains what the long-term assessment is and what the O & M would be.

Ms. Brethen stated that would be important information to have. We have resurrected our newsletter and want to put in a CDD section every two months. This will help

people understand where their dollars are going. This will help alleviate some of the questioning. This is why I want copies of the budget, so I can honestly respond to questions and come up with concrete information, based on that documentation. Do you hold this meeting bi-monthly on a Tuesday at 9:00 a.m.?

Mr. Daugirda responded yes. The next meeting is on January 14, 2003 at 9:00 a.m.

Ms. Brethen stated I know this appears on the website, but for people who do not have Internet I want to be sure they have that information also. Also, on the repayment information, based on the tax assessment, we noticed that certain individuals this year are paying \$1,158 as their total debt service, including the bond repayment and operation and maintenance. Some resident are paying \$1,135 and the Barrington residents are paying \$894. What is the discrepancy?

Mr. Lawson responded this is the way the long-term debt was allocated to the specific home sites. They did it by size of the home site. Barrington has 35-foot home sites with a higher density, so its assessment is lower. The O & M is being paid the same by every homeowner. The long-term debt was determined in 1997.

Ms. Brethen stated the residents' opinion is that they all share the same common area and they are not sure why they are assessed differently.

Mr. Lawson stated it is based on a schedule developed by the financial advisor. He goes by the statute.

Ms. Brethen asked can that be modified?

Mr. Lawson responded I doubt that. Other Districts with a similar long-term debt are paying \$3,000 or \$4,000 a

year. The developer takes on the greater amount of the burden. Because of the long-term debt, we kept the assessments at \$600 a year.

Ms. Brethen asked what is a dissemination agent?

Mr. Daugirda responded when they issue bonds there are obligations as part of that issuance. The dissemination agent disseminates information about the performance of the payback on the bonds.

Mr. Lawson stated there is a quarterly report that the developer works on that provides for the District to show how many homes have been sold, how many are under contract and how many are under construction, so the bondholders can see the activity and estimate the payback on their investments.

Mr. Daugirda stated that will go away when the bonds are paid off. When the bonds are issued the proceeds are deposited with a corporate trustee and that person administers the payment to the bondholders as well as the construction requisitions. Everything must go through a corporate trustee for release.

Ms. Brethen asked is Lennar the corporate trustee?

Mr. Lawson responded no, this is an independent bank such as SunTrust. They control the dispersement of funds as required by the indenture of the bond. Unless the District engineer signs the requisitions the trustee will not release any funds. They are an independent entity.

Ms. Brethen asked what about arbitrage calculations?

Mr. Daugirda responded that is another function of the bonds. We have proceeds in an account that we have to invest. There is interest on them. One of the

requirements of the bonds is that you have a report on the amount of arbitrage or interest you earn.

Mr. Lawson stated your interest on the investments cannot exceed the interest on the bonds.

Ms. Brethen asked what does the collection agent do?

Mr. Daugirda responded they function as a financial adviser and collection agent. They prepare the assessment rolls for the District and when someone closes on a house they issue a lien release to get rid of the lot infrastructure obligation.

Ms. Brethen asked they are going by what you are projecting will close within a year?

Mr. Daugirda responded I have some explanatory notes which goes with the budget and I will get you a copy.

Ms. Brethen stated the gate attendants are an emotional issue for this property. When this becomes a fully accessible public road, will the gate attendants go away?

Mr. Lawson responded that is for the District to decide. The Community needs to decide that path and whether they want to continue that service or not.

Ms. Brethen stated the residents of this community would prefer to have access to that road limited, without being fully restricted, similar to what we are doing now, with the side that is open to the public. Property values may suffer as a result of this. It is important for us to find a way to keep Northwood semi-private, but not exclusive. We have a lot of exclusivity now and this is a selling point. That will go away if we do not maintain it. We need to find out what, if anything, you have done in other communities where you had this issue. We realize

some of this is due to what Pasco County is also amenable to. Do we have any rights?

Mr. Lawson responded for that section of road, we probably do not. I think one way is to have a discussion with the developer who will be doing construction to that section of the road. They may entertain the idea, assuming the County still issues these license agreements. I do not know if they are. We obtained ours in 1995. They can answer whether they want to build an entry feature for their community. If they do, this might be an option, but it has to be the same type of operation. They cannot deny access, but may implement a deterrent feature.

Ms. Brethen stated I realize some of our activity has been internal, but once you invite outsiders in, you have a lot more at stake.

Mr. Daugirda stated the logical next step is to determine who the developer to the north will be and confer with them.

Mr. Lawson stated another long-term option that you can consider is to privatize each of your separate neighborhoods off the main road.

Ms. Brethen asked do you mean gate each of them?

Mr. Lawson responded yes, but then you will be responsible for the road maintenance. That is another option.

Mr. Devasher stated you will be assessed for that.

Mr. Lawson stated you will have to deduct that from your own internal road maintenance. You can privatize the entrance and control access. It can be very complicated because you must get 100% of the residents to agree in order to do this.

Ms. Brethen stated they will just need to know what that will cost, because gate attendants will be gone. Is that not the case?

Mr. Daugirda responded that may be cost-effective down the road. That is something that you must research.

Ms. Brethen asked what is our projected time on that?

Mr. Daugirda responded I cannot answer that.

Ms. Brethen asked is M.I. going to build that road?

Mr. Lawson responded I do not know. Someone has that under contract, but I do not know what their plans or involvement are. They have commercial space at the corner. I have had problems communicating with them directly to see what they are planning for the entry. I can ask whether they will consider doing some type of a gate facility if the County will allow them, as long as you are not denying access.

Ms. Brethen stated we have not done so thus far.

Mr. Devasher stated that is a good idea to pursue.

Ms. Brethen asked how much will it cost to replace gates or to replace burglary or prevent attackers? I have seen this type of thing and the property values decline. People start zipping through if you let the public in. It is a lot cheaper to replace a gate arm. It would not cost \$75,000 to replace gate arms and it would make people think whether they want to stop at the gate and go through to get to County Line because there are a couple projects getting ready to start. I am trying to make Northwood not be a cut-through for the sake of our Community.

Ms. Nelson stated my concern is the main road is not so much the protection. It is the fact that we have the gazebo by what will become a busy road. We have children

and any child can push the gates that we have at the pool and open them. It is up to the parents to watch their children, but you cannot watch them every second. There are sick people that may come by and see the children and harm them. It is crazy on the weekends.

Mr. Lawson stated these are good reasons for having a facility at the north end if that developer is willing to do it. Otherwise, we can petition the County to get more traffic control devices. Maybe we can put up stop signs as a deterrent.

Mr. Devasher stated this is always going to be a throughway to the north property so this is nothing new.

Mr. Lawson one good thing is that this roadway is that it will be a residential roadway. There will not be many people coming from 56 down this road.

Ms. Nelson stated it might be more than you think. It is very busy.

Mr. Lawson stated I do not think there will be much the way Livingston is going.

Ms. Nelson stated speed bumps would slow people down.

Mr. Devasher stated speed bumps are a good idea. The County has to approve them.

Mr. Lawson stated that will not bother homeowners because if you put it adjacent to the park, which we have done in other communities, it is a big help.

Ms. Nelson stated I prefer a speed bump.

Ms. Brethen asked what about building a roundabout there along that strip that connects, so it is not a straight road? If a professional developer recommended it, is there any leverage for an established resident?

Mr. Lawson stated certainly. We make a site plan and it will become part of the public record. It will come to a development review committee public hearing. There will be notices to the property owners. You certainly will have opportunity to attend those meetings and voice your opinion.

Ms. Brethen asked will it only appear in the newspaper publication?

Mr. Lawson responded if there is any kind of re-zoning you will be noticed, but since this is a DRI they may not have to. All of this property was a development of regional impact, so they do not have to do that. The CDD will look at this kind of things and notify the residents about it.

I know the developer and we can discuss some of these issues jointly with a view toward mutual benefit.

Ms. Brethen stated recognizing that, eventually there will be other north/south roads connecting Route 56 to the County lines, many years ago I talked to an engineer who gave me a twenty-year long-term DRI information. There will be some other roads over a long period of time, but before that comes through, Northwood will be the only cut-through. We better limit that, so we do not take any chances. We want to keep the speed under control for the sake of all the residents.

Ms. Nelson stated it was brought up at the social committee meeting last night that we would like to have cameras in the front as well as in the back.

Ms. Brethen stated it would be a lot cheaper to take care of a wooden gate that does not exclude anyone than to take other risks.

Mr. Daugirda stated there are some technological options you can look at on gates. We can discuss this when we have a resident controlled Board.

Ms. Brethen asked how far are we from that?

Mr. Daugirda responded approximately two years. The next election is in November of 2003. There are smart gates that sense approach in addition to the loop underneath the concrete that pops up. They have breakaway, rubber gates like you see at turnpikes. There are many options to explore.

Ms. Nelson stated my main concern is the children and their safety along the north road. We have liability. Also, I would like to suggest that perhaps we could take the cameras and put in a clubhouse with that money.

Mr. Daugirda stated this is some long term planning for the residents. If you want to raise the money to build a clubhouse, we can do that.

Ms. Nelson stated we spent all this money to resurface the pool, but have not had a lot of other things done that we have asked for that are more crucial. We can resurface the pool, but there is no 911 phone. There is no lifeguard. We need something done there.

Mr. Daugirda stated that comes back to you. If you want to mobilize the community and you are willing to spend another \$100 or so per household a year, you can do that.

Ms. Nelson stated we are paying \$1,000 for security.

Ms. Brethen stated the gate attendants require 100%. The developer is still paying a portion of the CDD at this time.

Mr. Lawson stated we pay exactly what you pay.

Ms. Brethen is that depending on where I live?

Mr. Lawson responded yes, it depends on where you own your property.

Mr. Daugirda stated they pay a proportionate share.

Ms. Brethen asked if we want to eliminate gate attendants, do we need 100% resident participation?

Mr. Daugirda responded that is a Board decision.

Mr. Lawson stated the Board would probably send an independent survey out to every resident and set up some suggested options to get a feel for the consensus of the community.

Ms. Brethen asked would you like to do that?

Ms. Nelson responded yes.

Ms. Brethen stated once we find out what everyone wants, we can decide whether to talk about gates or not.

Ms. Nelson stated if someone were to celebrate a birthday, they should have a place like a clubhouse.

Mr. Lawson stated we are now discussing gate attendants. Where would you build a clubhouse?

Ms. Nelson stated I want to take advantage of something more economical. I have been here for seven years and it is embarrassing to have a party at the cabana.

Ms. Brethen stated we can take our existing space and add a first floor facility room there and relocate those three to five parking spaces. I see a dilemma with adding a second story. Kids may jump off into the pool and we are not structurally sound enough to do that. There is also a handicapped issue in getting up the stairs.

A resident stated there is too much cost involved as well as hurricane issues.

Mr. Lawson stated there are requirements by the County. That is an HRS requirement.

Ms. Brethen asked could we reconfigure the parking spaces without re-doing it entirely. Perhaps we could move those spots some place else nearby and label them for compact only. There has been so much contention about a clubhouse and this seems like the logical place to do it that could be funded. If this community is willing to give up gate attendants, then perhaps they could give that up in favor of construction of a clubhouse. This would simply be diverting the funds from one place to the next.

Ms. Nelson stated I pay a lot for the pool and I have not been there. The way it is now, there is no one there. It is ridiculous that it is not being watched at all. If you could guarantee me that the pool is safe, I would go there.

Ms. Brethen asked does it have the levels taken weekly?

Mr. Lawson responded yes it does.

Ms. Brethen asked who collects that information?

Mr. Daugirda asked are we discussing a lifeguard issue or chemical treatment of the pool?

Ms. Brethen responded chemical and germ safety. Where a clubhouse is concerned, that would give us a reason to have a small office to help bring the community together. We will be able to have functions here, rather than looking for outside sources. The things that make a community are more than a clubhouse, but it is a logical step toward that goal. It would probably be more rectangular than square and if we were able to draw that in and accomplish that architecturally, it would fall in line with the rest of the community. I wonder if it was done through the CDD or the

H. 0A, whether it could be a tax write-off for businesses providing, contracting, concrete block or anything else.

A resident stated I want to ask about the wall extension and the landscaping. I heard that you were trying to work out some irrigation issues. What is the status on that?

Mr. Leatham responded yes, there is not enough capacity for the reclaimed to reach all the way back so we are sinking a supplement well there. I do not know the schedule for completion.

A resident stated I have a request from the guards for additional lighting at the entrance. They cannot read license plates and things like that.

Ms. Brethen stated perhaps we could give them lights to shine on the cars?

Ms. Nelson stated I noticed a couple of the guards sit there with just a little light on to see better as opposed to the fluorescents.

Mr. Daugirda stated we will see what we can work out for that.

Ms. Brethen stated the timetable was helpful because now we know what to work on and how to do it.

Mr. Leatham stated I believe the gate is already contracted with the County until 2005.

Ms. Brethen stated it was a ten-year contract.

Mr. Lawson stated if you want those gates down later, but a trigger when someone approaches, we can consider that.

Ms. Brethen stated I like that idea. It sounds cheaper than gate attendants.

Ms. Nelson stated you cannot compare us to other neighborhoods. We pay more.

Mr. Lawson stated it is done through O & M

Ms. Brethen stated a lot of communities do not have a CDD. What was the O & M budget for this year?

Mr. Daugirda responded \$579,000.

Ms. Brethen stated a lot of communities do not have a CDD to handle all the contractual obligations, the growth issues and other issues. An HOA is responsible for most of that. We do not need that redundant service here because we have a governing entity to take care of that for us. That is where our dollars really go and should not go through an HOA because they are being handled through the CDD. When we want to change things, they are through our infrastructure and not our homes; the place to come is the CDD, not the HOA.

Mr. Lawson stated if it is a deed restriction item or someone who lives in their home without getting approval, that is an HOA issue.

A resident asked is there an HOA maintenance person?

Ms. Valenti responded we are shopping right now. They have a wonderful, all-inclusive contract, but we do not need them for the better portion of what they propose.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Devasher seconded by Mr. Lawson with all in favor the meeting was adjourned at 10:00 a.m.
--

November 12, 2002

Northwood CDD

Secretary / Assistant Secretary
Chairman

Chairman / Vice